

## SHORT STRUCTURAL PAPERS

Papers intended for publication under this heading must be in the format prescribed in Notes for Authors, *Acta Cryst.* (1978). A34, 143–157.

*Acta Cryst.* (1979). B35, 1425–1427

## The Structures of Thallium Cadmium Triiodide and Dirubidium Iron Tetraiodide

BY H. W. ZANDBERGEN, G. C. VERSCHOOR AND D. J. W. IJDO

*Gorlaeus Laboratoria, PO Box 9502, Leiden, The Netherlands*

(Received 19 June 1978; accepted 22 February 1979)

**Abstract.**  $\text{TlCdI}_3$ , orthorhombic,  $Pnma$ ,  $a = 10.080$  (2),  $b = 4.412$  (1),  $c = 16.289$  (3) Å,  $Z = 4$ ,  $V = 724.4$  (2) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c = 6.39$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>. The  $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$ -type structure is adopted.  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1$ ,  $a = 7.705$  (2),  $b = 8.182$  (2),  $c = 10.341$  (2) Å,  $\beta = 109.87$  (2)°,  $Z = 2$ ,  $V = 613.1$  (2) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c = 3.98$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>. The  $\text{Sr}_2\text{GeS}_4$ -type structure is adopted.

**Introduction.** The investigations of the title compounds form part of the studies of compounds in the system  $\text{AI-BI}_2$ ,  $A$  representing an alkali metal, In or Tl and  $B$  a first-row transition metal, Zn, Cd, Hg or Pb.

The phase diagram of the system  $\text{TlI-CdI}_2$  was constructed by Il-yasov & Bergman (1959) and Il-yasov, Dionisev & Bergman (1962). Recently the structure of  $\text{Rb}_2\text{CoI}_4$  (Seifert & Stäudel, 1977), which is isostructural with  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$ , was reported. Also  $\text{Cs}_2\text{HgI}_4$  (Pakhomov & Fedorov, 1973) is isostructural with  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  [ $\{101\}$  in the reported  $\text{Cs}_2\text{HgI}_4$  structure is the same as  $\{001\}$  in  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$ ].

Single crystals were grown using the Bridgman method. The crystals of  $\text{TlCdI}_3$  have one preferred growing direction, parallel to the  $b$  axis, giving needle-shaped crystals. With  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  no preferred growing direction was noticed. Crystal symmetry and approximate cell parameters of both compounds were determined from zero- and upper-level Weissenberg photographs. The systematic absences ( $0k0$  with  $k = 2n + 1$  for  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  and  $0kl$  with  $k + l = 2n + 1$  and  $hk0$  with  $k = 2n + 1$  for  $\text{TlCdI}_3$ ) suggest the space groups  $Pnma$  or  $Pn2_1a$  for  $\text{TlCdI}_3$  and  $P2_1$  or  $P2_1/m$  for  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$ .

A crystal of  $\text{TlCdI}_3$  of dimensions 0.02–0.03 mm was mounted along  $[010]$  and a crystal of  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  of dimensions 0.15–0.20 mm along  $[011]$  on an Enraf-Nonius three-circle diffractometer. Graphite-monochromatized  $\text{Mo K}\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å;  $\mu = 18.8$  mm<sup>-1</sup> for  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  and 37.8 mm<sup>-1</sup> for  $\text{TlCdI}_3$ ) was used for the data collection. The  $\omega$ -scan technique was employed with  $\theta$  ranges of 4–25° for  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$ , and 3–

25° for  $\text{TlCdI}_3$ , and a scan width of  $(1.9 + \tan \theta)^\circ$  for both compounds. 2145 ( $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$ ) and 2129 ( $\text{TlCdI}_3$ ) reflexions were measured. Of the independent reflexions, 1195 and 583 were significant (358 and 117 insignificant) for  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  and  $\text{TlCdI}_3$ , respectively. A correction for absorption was made with a program developed by de Graaff (1973). After correction for Lorentz and polarization effects, the intensities were reduced to  $F_o$  values and symmetry-related reflexions were averaged. All crystallographic calculations were carried out on the Leiden University IBM 370/158 computer using a set of computer programs written or modified by Rutten-Keulemans & de Graaff (private communication). Scattering factors taken from Cromer & Waber (1968) were used with corrections for the real and imaginary part of the anomalous dispersion. The function minimized during the least-squares refinement was  $w_F(F_o - F_c)^2$ , using the weighting scheme  $w_F = 1/\sigma_F^2$ .

The refinement was started with the positions of  $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$  (Brasseur & Pauling, 1938) as a model. Full-matrix refinement in  $Pnma$  of positional parameters and anisotropic thermal parameters for all ions led to convergence at  $R_F = (F_o - F_c)/F_o = 4.74$  and  $R_{wF} = [w_F(F_o - F_c)^2/w_F F_o^2]^{1/2} = 4.44$ . Extinction correction resulted in  $R_F = 3.65$  and  $R_{wF} = 3.53$ .

Starting with the positions found for  $Pnma$  full-matrix refinement of positional parameters and anisotropic thermal parameters for all ions (with extinction correction) in space group  $Pn2_1a$  led to convergence at  $R_F = 3.41$  and  $R_{wF} = 3.24$ . The differences between the  $x$  and  $z$  parameters of both refinements are less than the standard deviations. The  $y$  parameters of both refinements are given in Table 1 together with the  $x$  and  $z$  parameters resulting from the refinement in  $Pnma$ .\*

\* Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34273 (9 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Although the lowering of the symmetry is significant according to the  $R_F$  ratio test we believe the space group to be  $Pnma$ . The reasons for believing this are: (1) the  $U_{22}$  parameters in the refinement in  $Pnma$  give no reason to expect a deviation in the [010] direction, (2) the shifts of the ions in the refinement in  $Pn2_1a$  are random and (3) the largest deviation in the [001] direction is only 0.03 Å.

Measurements with second-harmonic generation (Kurtz & Perry, 1968), to establish whether or not the compound is centrosymmetric, did not yield a reliable result. There were a considerable number of spurious signals making it very difficult to determine whether or not a second harmonic signal is present. The interatomic bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 1. *Positional parameters of the refinement in space group  $Pnma$  and the  $y$  parameter of the refinement in  $Pn2_1a$  for  $TlCdI_3$*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$y(Pn2_1a)$
Tl	0.43617 (10)	0.25	-0.17606 (6)	0.25
Cd	0.16776 (15)	0.25	0.05634 (9)	0.2435 (12)
I(1)	0.16350 (12)	0.25	0.48989 (8)	0.2508 (12)
I(2)	0.29119 (11)	0.25	0.21392 (7)	0.2481 (14)
I(3)	0.02409 (12)	0.25	-0.10698 (7)	0.2453 (10)

Table 2. *Interatomic distances (Å) for  $TlCdI_3$*

Tl-I(1)	3.800 (2)	I(1)-I(1)	4.415 (1)
Tl-I(1)	3.631 (2) × 2	I(1)-I(1)	3.980 (3)
Tl-I(2)	3.578 (2) × 2	I(1)-I(2)	4.288 (3)
Tl-I(2)	3.651 (2) × 2	I(1)-I(3)	4.103 (3)
Tl-I(3)	3.642 (2)	I(1)-I(3)	4.156 (3)
Tl-I(3)	4.302 (2)	I(2)-I(2)	4.415 (1)
Cd-I(1)	2.989 (2) × 2	I(2)-I(3)	4.243 (3)
Cd-I(2)	2.851 (3)	I(2)-I(3)	4.104 (2)
Cd-I(3)	3.028 (2)	I(3)-I(3)	4.415 (1)
Cd-I(3)	3.048 (2) × 2	I(3)-I(3)	4.153 (3)

Table 3. *Bond angles (°) and their estimated standard deviations for  $TlCdI_3$*

I(1)-Tl-I(1)	64.73 (3)	I(2)-Tl-I(3)	69.27 (3)
I(1)-Tl-I(2)	71.00 (3)	I(2)-Tl-I(3)	74.40 (3)
I(1)-Tl-I(2)	140.33 (2)	I(2)-Tl-I(3)	61.48 (3)
I(1)-Tl-I(3)	111.93 (3)	I(2)-Tl-I(3)	71.14 (3)
I(1)-Tl-I(3)	128.84 (4)	I(3)-Tl-I(3)	119.24 (3)
I(1)-Tl-I(1)	88.02 (2)	I(1)-Cd-I(1)	95.21 (5)
I(2)-Tl-I(2)	135.71 (4)	I(1)-Cd-I(2)	94.45 (5)
I(1)-Tl-I(2)	79.86 (3)	I(1)-Cd-I(3)	87.37 (4)
I(1)-Tl-I(2)	124.00 (4)	I(1)-Cd-I(3)	85.63 (2)
I(1)-Tl-I(2)	62.51 (3)	I(1)-Cd-I(3)	173.50 (6)
I(1)-Tl-I(3)	142.10 (2)	I(2)-Cd-I(3)	177.30 (7)
I(2)-Tl-I(2)	76.20 (3)	I(2)-Cd-I(3)	91.91 (4)
I(2)-Tl-I(2)	91.39 (1)	I(3)-Cd-I(3)	86.23 (4)
I(2)-Tl-I(2)	140.39 (3)	I(3)-Cd-I(3)	92.81 (5)
I(2)-Tl-I(3)	141.83 (2)		

For the refinement of  $Rb_2FeI_4$  we took the positions of  $Sr_2GeS_4$  as starting parameters because the axis ratio and the systematically absent reflexions are the same for both compounds (Philipot, Ribes & Maurin, 1971) and because the distances calculated from a three-dimensional Patterson map agree with this structure. Full-matrix refinement of positional and isotropic thermal parameters in space group  $P2_1/m$  led to convergence at  $R_F = \sum (|F_o| - |F_c|) / \sum |F_o| = 4.81$  and  $R_{wF} = [\sum w_F (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w_F F_o^2]^{1/2} = 5.52$ ,  $w_F$  being  $1/\sigma_F^2$ . The refinement in  $P2_1$  converges at  $R_F = 4.39$  and  $R_{wF} = 5.03$ . Because of the ratio of the  $R$  values of the refinements in  $P2_1/m$  and  $P2_1$  and the significance of the shifts of the ions in  $P2_1$  with respect to  $P2_1/m$  we have concluded that the space group must be  $P2_1$ . Measurements with second-harmonic generation did not yield a reliable result as the sample was sensitive to the laser beam. It was therefore not possible to determine whether the measured signal was a second harmonic or a spurious signal. Extinction correction led to  $R_F = 4.07$  and  $R_{wF} = 4.45$ . We could not decide between the above structure and the inverted one as both give the same  $R$  values. The results of the refinement are given in Table 4. In Table 5 some distances and bond angles are given.

Table 4. *Positional parameters for  $Rb_2FeI_4$*

	$x$	$y$	$z$
Rb(1)	0.1971 (2)	0.7408 (7)	0.0383 (1)
Rb(2)	0.2917 (2)	0.7391 (6)	0.5825 (1)
Fe	0.2057 (2)	0.2444 (7)	0.7878 (2)
I(1)	0.4208 (1)	0.2485 (7)	0.6390 (1)
I(2)	0.3741 (2)	0.2500	0.0569 (1)
I(3)	-0.0167 (5)	0.0107 (4)	0.7342 (3)
I(4)	0.0199 (5)	0.0034 (4)	-0.7252 (3)

Table 5. *Distances (Å) and some bond angles (°) for  $Rb_2FeI_4$*

Rb(1)-I(1)	3.644 (1)	Rb(2)-I(1)	3.689 (2)
Rb(1)-I(2)	3.795 (2)	Rb(2)-I(2)	3.744 (2)
Rb(1)-I(3)	3.675 (5)	Rb(2)-I(3)	3.788 (5)
Rb(1)-I(3)	3.809 (5)	Rb(2)-I(3)	3.763 (4)
Rb(1)-I(4)	3.760 (5)	Rb(2)-I(4)	3.797 (4)
Rb(1)-I(4)	3.710 (4)	Rb(2)-I(4)	3.779 (5)
Rb(1)-I(2)	4.280 (6)	Rb(2)-I(1)	4.129 (7)
Rb(1)-I(2)	4.337 (6)	Rb(2)-I(1)	4.279 (7)
Fe-I(1)	2.618 (2)		
Fe-I(2)	2.640 (2)	I-I	4.03-4.84
Fe-I(3)	2.638 (6)		
Fe I(4)	2.634 (6)		
I(1)-Fe-I(2)	115.9 (1)	I(2)-Fe-I(3)	108.7 (2)
I(1)-Fe-I(3)	110.6 (2)	I(2)-Fe-I(4)	107.1 (2)
I(1)-Fe-I(4)	110.0 (2)	I(3)-Fe-I(4)	103.9 (1)

**Discussion.**  $\text{TlCdI}_3$  consists of double chains of edge-sharing  $\text{CdI}_6$  octahedra with  $\text{Tl}^+$  ions between the double chains in nine coordination. Haupt, Huber & Preut (1974) have given a more detailed description of  $\text{RbPbI}_3$ , which is isostructural with  $\text{TlCdI}_3$ . The  $\text{ABI}_3$  compounds with the  $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$ -type structure are listed in Table 6. Although  $\text{Rb}^+$  has almost the same

radius as  $\text{Tl}^+$  compounds  $\text{RbBI}_3$ , do not have the  $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$  structure, except for  $\text{RbPbI}_3$ . Perhaps the occurrence of compounds having the  $\text{NH}_4\text{CdCl}_3$  structure is favoured by the lone pair of  $\text{Tl}^+$  which fits into the structure rather well because one of the  $\text{Tl}^+ - \text{I}^-$  distances is considerably larger than the rest (see Table 2).

Table 6. Cell parameters of several  $\text{ABI}_3$  compounds

ND = neutron diffraction; XD = X-ray diffraction.

Compound	Type of diffraction	$a$ (Å)	$b$ (Å)	$c$ (Å)
$\text{TlMnI}_3$	ND	10.070 (1)	4.2963 (4)	16.171 (2)
$\text{TlFeI}_3$	ND	9.967 (1)	4.2407 (3)	15.981 (1)
$\text{TlCdI}_3$	XD	10.080 (2)	4.412 (1)	16.289 (3)
$\text{KPbI}_3$	XD	10.169 (2)	4.716 (1)	17.359 (4)
$\text{RbPbI}_3^b$	XD	10.274 (1)	4.773 (1)	17.381 (2)
$\text{CsPbI}_3^a$	XD	10.46	4.797	17.78

References: (a) Moller (1959); (b) Haupt, Huber & Preut (1974).

Table 7. Cell parameters of ternary iodides with the  $\text{Sr}_2\text{GeS}_4$  structure

	$a$ (Å)	$b$ (Å)	$c$ (Å)	$\beta$ (°)
$\text{K}_2\text{MnI}_4$	7.943 (4)	8.197 (3)	9.525 (2)	108.36 (5)
$\text{K}_2\text{MnI}_4^a$	7.922	8.229	9.719	108.3
$\text{Rb}_2\text{MnI}_4$	7.844 (2)	8.253 (2)	10.281 (1)	109.45 (1)
$\text{Rb}_2\text{MnI}_4^a$	7.854	8.264	10.304	109.4
$\text{K}_2\text{FeI}_4$	7.832 (3)	8.161 (3)	9.663 (2)	108.42 (4)
$\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$	7.705 (2)	8.182 (2)	10.341 (2)	109.87 (2)
$\text{K}_2\text{CoI}_4$	7.723 (5)	8.087 (4)	9.688 (2)	108.56 (6)
$\text{K}_2\text{CoI}_4^b$	7.702	8.090	9.677	108.4
$\text{Rb}_2\text{CoI}_4$	7.630 (3)	8.143 (3)	10.382 (2)	109.56 (6)
$\text{Rb}_2\text{CoI}_4^b$	7.657	8.144	10.384	109.8
$\text{Tl}_2\text{CoI}_4$	7.521 (3)	7.972 (3)	9.755 (2)	109.28 (6)
$\text{Tl}_2\text{CoI}_4^b$	7.519	7.972	9.762	108.9
$\text{K}_2\text{ZnI}_4$	7.745 (3)	8.121 (2)	9.665 (1)	108.28 (6)
$\text{Rb}_2\text{ZnI}_4$	7.642 (2)	8.176 (2)	10.371 (3)	109.84 (2)
$\text{In}_2\text{ZnI}_4$	7.471 (3)	7.967 (3)	9.827 (2)	109.57 (4)
$\text{Tl}_2\text{ZnI}_4$	7.548 (2)	7.997 (2)	9.730 (1)	109.00 (5)
$\text{Rb}_2\text{CdI}_4$	8.004 (7)	8.323 (3)	10.164 (8)	108.96 (7)
$\text{Cs}_2\text{CdI}_4$	7.799 (4)	8.381 (2)	11.019 (3)	110.77 (3)
$\text{Cs}_2\text{HgI}_4$	7.722 (3)	8.376 (3)	11.040 (5)	110.47 (5)
$\text{Cs}_2\text{HgI}_4^c$	7.94	8.46	11.32	110.66

References: (a) Seifert & Kischka (1978); (b) Seifert & Stäudel (1977); (c) Pakhomov & Federov (1973), transformed.

$\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  consists of isolated  $\text{FeI}_4^{2-}$  tetrahedra with the  $\text{Rb}^+$  ion in 6 + 2 coordination. It has rows of I(3) and I(4) ions along the  $b$  axis determining the length of the  $b$  axis. One would expect therefore that the  $\text{Rb}$ , I(1) and I(2) ions have too much space in the [010] direction. That this is actually the case can be seen from the anisotropic parameters. If one were to rotate the tetrahedra in order to reduce the space for  $\text{Rb}$ , I(1) and I(2), the coordination of the  $\text{Rb}$  ions would be lowered. Probably because of this change in coordination,  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  has a non-modified  $\text{Sr}_2\text{GeS}_4$ -type structure. Some compounds isostructural with  $\text{Rb}_2\text{FeI}_4$  are listed in Table 7.

#### References

- BRASSEUR, H. & PAULING, L. (1938). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **60**, 2886–2890.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **18**, 104–109.
- GRAAFF, R. A. G. DE (1973). *Acta Cryst.* **A29**, 298–301.
- HAUPT, H. J., HUBER, F. & PREUT, H. (1974). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **408**, 209–213.
- IL-YASOV, I. & BERGMAN, A. G. (1959). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **4**, 414–416.
- IL-YASOV, I., DIONISEV, S. D. & BERGMAN, A. G. (1962). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **7**, 318–319.
- KURTZ, S. K. & PERRY, T. T. (1968). *J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, 3798–3813.
- MOLLER, C. K. (1959). *Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk.* **32**, 1–18.
- PAKHOMOV, V. I. & FEDOROV, P. M. (1973). *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.* **17**, 833–836.
- PHILIPPOT, E., RIBES, M. & MAURIN, M. (1971). *Rev. Chim. Minéral.* **8**, 99–109.
- SEIFERT, H. J. & KISCHKA, K. H. (1978). *Thermochim. Acta*, **27**, 85–93.
- SEIFERT, H. J. & STÄUDEL, L. (1977). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **429**, 105–117.